

Davis, Hibbitts & Midghall, Inc.
 Columbia Crossing Survey, November 2006
 Two 15-minute surveys, Tri-County Area and Clark County
 Each survey n=400 likely voters (2 of last 4 elections)

WARM-UP

Q1. All in all, would you say that things in the Portland/Vancouver area are headed in the right direction, or are things pretty much off on the wrong track?

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
Right direction -----	55%	56%	54%
Off on the wrong track -----	32%	32%	33%
[DON'T READ] DK -----	13%	13%	13%

MESSAGING -- REASONS WHY CRITICAL/SERIOUS PROBLEM - UNPROMPTED

Q2. What is the most important issue that you would like to see your local and regional government officials do something about? (Open, probe for one issue only)

open ended

Combined	Traffic Congestion/Control -----	17%
	Education Quality -----	11%
	Education Funding -----	11%
	Tax Control -----	8%
	Road/Freeway Development/Maintenance -----	5%
	Growth/Development Control/Land Use -----	4%
	Healthcare/Insurance -----	4%
	Crime/Public Safety -----	4%
	All other responses -----	3% or less
	[DON'T READ] DK -----	6%
Tri-County	Traffic Congestion/Control -----	16%
	Education Funding -----	13%
	Education Quality -----	12%
	Tax Control -----	8%
	Road/Freeway Development/Maintenance -----	4%
	Healthcare/Insurance -----	4%
	Crime/Public Safety -----	4%
	Growth/Development Control/Land Use -----	4%
	All other responses -----	3% or less
	[DON'T READ] DK -----	6%
Clark County	Traffic Congestion/Control -----	22%
	Education Quality -----	10%
	Road/Freeway Development/Maintenance -----	7%
	Growth/Development Control/Land Use -----	7%
	Tax Control -----	7%
	Columbia River Bridge -----	6%
	Transportation/Public Mass Transit -----	5%
	Healthcare/Insurance -----	4%
	All other responses -----	3% or less
	[DON'T READ] DK -----	6%

Q3. (If respondent mentions traffic congestion on Q2) Is there a particular freeway, highway, or road segment where traffic congestion particularly concerns you? (Open, probe for response)

Combined	I-5 -----	17%
	I-5 Bridge/PDX-Vancouver Bridge -----	11%
	I-205 -----	11%
	All of them/Everywhere -----	9%
	Highway 26 -----	7%
	Highway 217 -----	4%
	I-5/I-205 Interchange -----	4%
	All other responses -----	3% or less
[DON'T READ] DK -----	4%	
Tri-County	I-5 -----	14%
	I-205 -----	14%
	All of them/Everywhere -----	11%
	Highway 26 -----	9%
	I-5 Bridge/PDX-Vancouver Bridge -----	8%
	Highway 217 -----	5%
	I-5 and I-205 Interchange -----	3%
	26 th Street between 185 th and Murray -----	3%
All other responses -----	2% or less	
[DON'T READ] DK -----	5%	
Clark County	I-5 -----	29%
	I-5 Bridge/PDX-Vancouver Bridge -----	23%
	I-5 and Mill Plain -----	11%
	I-5 at Delta Park -----	8%
	I-5 and I-205 Interchange -----	7%
	HWY 500 between 54 th and 42 nd -----	4%
	All other responses -----	2% or less
[DON'T READ] DK -----	1%	

Q4. Thinking about I-5 between State Route 500 in Vancouver, and Columbia Boulevard in Portland, also including the interstate bridge in Portland, how would you describe traffic problems along that section of freeway; a critical problem, a serious problem but not critical, a problem but not that serious, or not really a problem at all?

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
Critical problem -----	41%	37%	56%
Serious problem, but not critical -----	37%	39%	29%
A problem, but not serious -----	10%	11%	8%
Not really a problem at all -----	11%	12%	7%
[DON'T READ] DK -----	1%	2%	0%

Q5. (If respondent says critical or serious problem, ask) What is it in particular that makes that section of I-5 a critical/serious problem? (Open, probe for comments)

Combined	Amt of Traffic/Too Many Vehicles/Population Increase	33%	61%
	Bottleneck/Lane Reduction Merges	15%	
	Not Enough Lanes/Road Too Narrow	13%	
	Rush Hour/Peak Times/Job Commuter Travel	8%	
	Gridlock/Traffic Stuck/Not Moving	6%	
	All other responses	3% or less	
	[DON'T READ] DK	2%	
Tri-County	Amount of Traffic/Too Many Vehicles/Population Inc	36%	
	Not enough Lanes/Road too Narrow	13%	
	Bottleneck/Lane Reduction Merges	12%	
	Rush Hour/Peak Times/Job Commuter Travel	8%	
	Gridlock/Traffic Stuck Not Moving	7%	
	All other responses	3% or less	
	[DON'T READ] DK	3%	
Clark County	Amt of Traffic/Too Many Vehicles/Population Increase	25%	
	Bottleneck/Lane Reduction Merges	25%	
	Not Enough Lanes/Road Too Narrow	15%	
	Rush Hour/Peak Times/Job Commuter Travel	8%	
	Outdated Roadways/Bridges/Lack of Highway Imp	4%	
	Travel Times/Slow Traffic	4%	
	All other responses	3% or less	
[DON'T READ] DK	1%		

PROJECT COMPONENTS - UNPROMPTED

Q6. What do you think should be done, if anything, to minimize the problems on I-5 between SR 500 in Vancouver and Columbia Boulevard in Portland, including the Interstate Bridge across the Columbia River? (Open)

Combined	Lanes Added/Wider Roads/Eliminate Bottlenecks	27%
	Another Bridge Added	24%
	Mass Transit (General)/Development/Improvements	10%
	Current Bridge Replaced/Widened	7%
	Light Rail Development/Expansion Across Bridge	6%
	Highways/Roads Added/New Route Choices	4%
	All other responses	3% or less
[DON'T READ] DK	20%	
Tri-County	Lanes Added/Wider Roads/Eliminate Bottlenecks	25%
	Another Bridge Added	20%
	Mass Transit (General) Development/Improvements	11%
	Current Bridge Replaced/Widened	7%
	Light Rail Development/Expansion Across Bridge	6%
	Max Train Development/Expansion	4%
	All other responses	3% or less
[DON'T READ] DK	23%	

Clark County Another Bridge Added-----	38%
Lanes Added/Wider Roads/Eliminate Bottlenecks -----	36%
Current Bridge Replace/Widened-----	8%
Light Rail Development/Expansion Across Bridge -----	8%
Mass Transit (General) Development/Improvements -----	5%
New highways/Route choices -----	5%
All other responses -----	3% or less
[DON'T READ] DK-----	9%

MESSAGING -- RATING REASONS WHY TO DO SOMETHING - PROMPTED

Q7. I would like to read some reasons people have given us as to why something should be done to reduce traffic problems along the section of I-5 between state route 500 in Vancouver and Columbia Boulevard in Portland, including the interstate bridge across the Columbia River. Regardless of how you feel about this stretch of I-5, please tell me if you think that particular reason is a very good, good, poor, or very poor reason to do something to reduce problems along that section of freeway.

(ROTATE)		Very		Poor	Very		NA/ DK
		Good	Good		Poor	Poor	
a.	The longer we wait to deal with this problem the more it is going to cost us. -----	89	46%	43%	8%	2%	2%
	Tri-County-----	88	44%	44%	9%	2%	2%
	Clark County-----	93	54%	38%	4%	3%	1%
b.	Movement of products and freight will be slowed if we don't reduce congestion along that section of the freeway.-----		33%	48%	11%	3%	4%
	Tri-County-----		33%	48%	12%	3%	5%
	Clark County-----		36%	49%	10%	3%	3%
c.	That section of freeway, including off ramps and the way the lanes are designed are just unsafe and need to be improved.-----		34%	39%	13%	3%	11%
	Tri-County-----		34%	39%	13%	3%	12%
	Clark County-----		38%	39%	13%	4%	7%
d.	Local businesses will be hurt if we don't reduce traffic congestion along that stretch of I-5.-----		19%	41%	23%	7%	10%
	Tri-County-----		17%	41%	24%	7%	11%
	Clark County-----		24%	42%	21%	7%	7%
e.	Including improved public transit as part of the improvement of that section of freeway would help reduce air pollution -----	77	34%	43%	13%	5%	5%
	Tri-County-----	78	34%	44%	13%	4%	6%
	Clark County-----	75	34%	41%	13%	9%	4%

(ROTATE)		<u>Very</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>NA/</u>
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>DK</u>
f.	Improving that section of the freeway might help reduce incidents of road rage. -----	27%	40%	19%	7%	6%
	Tri-County -----	25%	40%	20%	8%	7%
	Clark County -----	37%	39%	14%	7%	4%
g.	Including public transit as part of the improvement of that section of I-5 would help reduce our country's reliance on foreign oil. -----	26%	37%	20%	10%	7%
	Tri-County -----	26%	36%	21%	10%	8%
	Clark County -----	25%	40%	19%	10%	6%
h.	Interstate commerce along the West Coast, including the movement of goods and products is being hurt by the traffic bottleneck along that section of freeway. -----	25%	44%	16%	6%	9%
	Tri-County -----	24%	45%	16%	6%	10%
	Clark County -----	30%	40%	18%	6%	7%
i.	The productivity of workers who have to commute along that section of freeway is being affected by all the time they spend sitting in traffic. -----	37%	43%	13%	4%	4%
	Tri-County -----	35%	43%	14%	4%	4%
	Clark County -----	46%	41%	10%	2%	2%
j.	Repairs and improvements should be made along that section of freeway to allow for easier access by emergency vehicles. -----	40%	45%	8%	1%	6%
	Tri-County -----	37%	47%	8%	1%	7%
	Clark County -----	49%	39%	7%	2%	3%
k.	Including transit as part of the solution will help more people get to where they need to go. -----	34%	50%	11%	3%	2%
	Tri-County -----	33%	51%	11%	3%	2%
	Clark County -----	36%	44%	10%	7%	3%
l.	Reducing commuting times along the freeway will give people more time to spend at home, rather than on the road. -----	38%	47%	9%	3%	3%
	Tri-County -----	36%	48%	9%	3%	4%
	Clark County -----	46%	43%	8%	2%	2%
m.	A solution to this problem needs to be a long term, comprehensive solution, not a short term band aid. -----	57%	35%	4%	1%	3%
	Tri-County -----	57%	35%	4%	2%	3%
	Clark County -----	59%	35%	3%	1%	2%

(ROTATE)		<u>Very</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>NA/</u>
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>DK</u>
n.	It just takes too long to get to where you need to go along this section of freeway.	37%	44%	10%	3%	6%
	Tri-County	35%	45%	11%	3%	6%
	Clark County	45%	40%	9%	1%	4%
o.	This is a dangerous section of freeway with too many accidents.	33%	33%	12%	4%	18%
	Tri-County	30%	33%	13%	4%	20%
	Clark County	43%	33%	11%	3%	11%

MESSAGING – RATING REASONS WHY NOT TO DO SOMETHING - PROMPTED

Q8. On the other side of the coin, here are some reasons people have given us for not doing anything about that section of I-5 at this time. For each, please tell me if you feel that particular reason is a very good, good, poor, or very poor reason to not do anything about that section of I-5 at this time.

(ROTATE)		<u>Very</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>NA/</u>
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>DK</u>
a.	Making changes to that section of the I-5 freeway is going to be expensive, and we don't know how we are going to pay for it.	9%	21%	44%	24%	2%
	Tri-County	9%	22%	45%	23%	2%
	Clark County	8%	20%	42%	27%	2%
b.	The problems along that section of I-5 just don't affect me enough to be concerned about it.	7%	13%	44%	33%	2%
	Tri-County	8%	14%	45%	31%	2%
	Clark County	5%	12%	39%	43%	2%
c.	Anything they did now would just be a short term fix, we would be facing the same problems again within a few years.	8%	20%	41%	25%	6%
	Tri-County	8%	21%	41%	25%	6%
	Clark County	8%	17%	43%	28%	5%
d.	There are more important priorities for spending the money it would take to improve that section of I-5.	5%	25%	36%	24%	10%
	Tri-County	6%	26%	35%	23%	11%
	Clark County	4%	19%	42%	29%	6%

(ROTATE)	<u>Very</u> <u>Good</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Very</u> <u>Poor</u>	<u>NA/</u> <u>DK</u>
e. If we don't improve that section of I-5, eventually it will force more people to take public transit or drive at a different time, and that is a better and less expensive way to deal with the problem than the other solutions that have been suggested. -----	6%	20%	38%	30%	5%
Tri-County -----	6%	22%	38%	29%	5%
Clark County -----	6%	15%	39%	36%	4%
f. Improving that section of I-5 will actually cause more environmental problems than it will solve. -----	5%	13%	35%	33%	14%
Tri-County -----	5%	14%	34%	32%	16%
Clark County -----	5%	9%	40%	37%	9%

PROJECT COMPONENTS - PROMPTED

Q9. Now, let me read some possible options for dealing with the transportation problems on this stretch of I-5. Please tell me if you strongly favor, somewhat favor, are currently neutral, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose that particular option.

(ROTATE)	<u>Strong</u> <u>Favor</u>	<u>Smwt</u> <u>Favor</u>	<u>Currently</u> <u>Neutral</u>	<u>Smwt</u> <u>Oppose</u>	<u>Strong</u> <u>Oppose</u>	<u>DK/</u> <u>NA</u>
a. Add a third lane in each direction in those sections of I-5 that now have only two lanes, so that section of I-5 will have three lanes of travel in each direction. -----	44%	32%	11%	7%	4%	2%
Tri-County -----	42%	33%	12%	8%	4%	2%
Clark County -----	53%	29%	8%	4%	5%	1%
b. Replace the existing I-5 bridge across the Columbia River with a new bridge that has wider auto lanes, an emergency shoulder, and add more effective public transit options like light rail and bus lanes to any new bridge. -----	48%	28%	9%	6%	6%	2%
Tri-County -----	49%	29%	10%	5%	5%	2%
Clark County -----	45%	27%	9%	9%	8%	2%
c. Provide incentives for businesses to reduce rush hour traffic by offering more flexible hours and the ability to work from home. -----	35%	32%	16%	10%	5%	3%
Tri-County -----	36%	32%	16%	10%	5%	3%
Clark County -----	31%	34%	15%	12%	6%	3%

(ROTATE)

Strong Favor Smwt Favor Currently Neutral Smwt Oppose Strong Oppose DK/NA

- d. Use a system where motorists who drive that section of I-5 during busy times would pay a fee to do so. The intention would be to encourage motorists to drive at times other than rush hour.

	12%	23%	10%	20%	32%	3%
Tri-County	13%	24%	10%	20%	30%	3%
Clark County	11%	17%	12%	21%	37%	2%

- e. Replace the existing I-5 bridge across the Columbia River to add more lanes that can carry more automobile traffic.

	33%	33%	11%	11%	8%	3%
Tri-County	33%	33%	12%	11%	8%	3%
Clark County	32%	33%	10%	13%	9%	3%

- f. Extend light rail into Vancouver and further north into Clark County.

	49%	25%	8%	6%	10%	3%
Tri-County	50%	26%	8%	5%	9%	3%
Clark County	45%	23%	8%	7%	16%	2%

Q10. Let me read that list of options again. Please tell me which one option is the most appealing to you personally. (REREAD LIST FROM Q9 AND RECORD ONE OPTION)

- Combined**
 - Add a third lane in each direction of I-5 ----- 35%
 - Replace existing bridge with wider lanes/Transit options -- 28%
 - Extend light rail into Vancouver and north into Clark Co -- 19%
 - Replace existing bridge and add more lanes for auto traffic-- 7%
 - Incentives for business to offer flextime/work from home-- 5%
 - Motorists would pay a fee to use I-5 during busy times----- 3%
 - [DON'T READ] DK----- 3%

- Tri-County**
 - Add a third lane in each direction of I-5----- 34%
 - Replace existing bridge with wider lanes/Transit options --- 28%
 - Extend light rail into Vancouver and north into Clark Co -- 21%
 - Replace existing bridge and add more lanes for auto traffic-- 7%
 - Incentives for business to offer flextime/work from home-- 5%
 - Motorists would pay a fee to use I-5 during busy times----- 3%
 - [DON'T READ] DK----- 3%

- Clark County**
 - Add a third lane in each direction of I-5----- 42%
 - Replace existing bridge with wider lanes/Transit options --- 30%
 - Extend light rail into Vancouver and north into Clark Co -- 13%
 - Replace existing bridge and add more lanes for auto traffic-- 7%
 - Incentives for business to offer flextime/work from home-- 5%
 - Motorists would pay a fee to use I-5 during busy times----- 2%
 - [DON'T READ] DK----- 2%

Q11. And which of the options would be the second most appealing to you? (RECORD OPTION)

Combined	Replace existing bridge with wider lanes/transit options ----	19%
	Add a third lane in each direction of I-5 -----	16%
	Incentives for business to offer flextime/work from home-	15%
	Extend light rail into Vancouver and north into Clark Co --	14%
	Replace existing bridge and add more lanes for auto traffic-	13%
	Motorists would pay a fee to use I-5 during busy times-----	6%
	[DON'T READ] DK-----	16%
Tri-County	Replace existing bridge with wider lanes/transit options ---	19%
	Incentives for business to offer flextime/work from home-	15%
	Add a third lane in each direction of I-5 -----	15%
	Extend light rail into Vancouver and north into Clark Co --	15%
	Replace existing bridge and add more lanes for auto traffic-	13%
	Motorists would pay a fee to use I-5 during busy times-----	7%
	[DON'T READ] DK-----	16%
Clark County	Replace existing bridge with wider lanes/transit options ----	20%
	Add a third lane in each direction of I-5 -----	19%
	Replace existing bridge and add more lanes for auto traffic-	15%
	Extend light rail into Vancouver and north into Clark Co --	13%
	Incentives for business to offer flextime/work from home-	13%
	Motorists would pay a fee to use I-5 during busy times-----	5%
	[DON'T READ] DK-----	15%

TRANSIT OPTIONS

Q12. Now, I would like to ask you about some public transit options that might help reduce traffic congestion between Portland and Vancouver. Using a scale of 1 to 10, where a 1 means that you don't like that option at all, and a 10 means that you are very enthusiastic about that particular option, please rate each of the following. Of course, you can use any number between 1 and 10.

	Don't Like							Very		DK/ NA	
	That Option							Enthusiastic			
<u>ROTATE</u>	<u>01</u>	<u>02</u>	<u>03</u>	<u>04</u>	<u>05</u>	<u>06</u>	<u>07</u>	<u>08</u>	<u>09</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>
a. Extend the light rail line from North Portland across the Columbia River to Vancouver. -----											
	----- Mean-----										6.9
Tri-County -----											
	----- Mean-----										7.0
Clark County -----											
	----- Mean-----										6.3
b. Add new types of buses that can carry more people, have their own travel lanes, and connects to the light rail line in north Portland. -----											
	----- Mean-----										6.3
Tri-County -----											
	----- Mean-----										6.4
Clark County -----											
	----- Mean-----										5.9

- c. More express bus service on the freeway should be added between Portland and Vancouver.----- -- Mean--- ----6.2
 Tri-County ----- -- Mean--- ----6.3
 Clark County ----- -- Mean--- ----6.0
- d. No expansion of public transit of any kind should be completed along the freeway corridors between Portland and Vancouver. ----- -- Mean--- ----2.9
 Tri-County ----- -- Mean--- ----2.8
 Clark County ----- -- Mean--- ----3.2

Q13. Let me read those options again. Please tell me which one option that you like the most.
 (RECORD CHOICE FROM Q12 LIST)

Combined	Extend light rail from North Portland to Vancouver -----	52%
	New types of buses and connect to N Portland light rail----	20%
	More express bus service between PDX and Vancouver----	14%
	No expansion of public transit between PDX and Van-----	9%
	[DON'T READ] DK-----	5%
Tri-County	Extend light rail line from North PDX to Vancouver -----	52%
	New types of buses and connect to N Portland light rail----	21%
	More express bus service between PDX and Vancouver----	13%
	No expansion of public transit between PDX and Van-----	9%
	[DON'T READ] DK-----	5%
Clark County	Extend light rail line from North PDX to Vancouver -----	50%
	New types of buses and connect to N Portland light rail----	18%
	More express bus service between PDX and Vancouver----	17%
	No expansion of public transit between PDX and Van-----	11%
	[DON'T READ] DK-----	4%

NEW FREEWAY LANE OPTIONS

Q14. Next, I would like to ask you about options related to adding a new freeway lane on I-5 between State Route 500 in Vancouver and Columbia Boulevard in Portland. Again, using a 1 to 10 scale, where a 1 means that you do not like that option at all, and a 10 means that you are very enthusiastic about that option, please rate each of the following. Once again, you can use any number between 1 and 10.

	Don't Like That Option							Very Enthusiastic		DK/ NA	
ROTATE	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11

- a. A new lane added to this section of the I-5 freeway that can be used by all vehicles, 24 hours a day. ----- -- Mean--- ----7.2
 Tri-County ----- -- Mean--- ----7.1
 Clark County ----- -- Mean--- ----7.7

- b. A new lane added to the I-5 freeway that would be a high occupancy vehicle lane (HOV lane) for carpools and busses only during rush hour traffic, and open to all traffic the rest of the time. ----- Mean-----6.5
- Tri-County ----- Mean-----6.6
- Clark County ----- Mean-----6.2
- c. No new lanes should be added to the I-5 freeway. ----- Mean-----2.7
- Tri-County ----- Mean-----2.8
- Clark County ----- Mean-----2.5

Q15. Let me read that list again. Please tell me which one option you like the most. (RECORD CHOICE FROM Q14 LIST)

Combined	New lane added to I-5 to be used by all vehicles -----	45%
	New lane added to I-5 to be an HOV during rush hour -----	42%
	No new lanes added to I-5 -----	8%
	[DON'T READ] DK -----	4%
Tri-County	New lane added to I-5 to be used by all vehicles -----	43%
	New lane added to I-5 to be an HOV during rush hour -----	44%
	No new lanes added to I-5 -----	9%
	[DON'T READ] DK -----	5%
Clark County	New lane added to I-5 to be used by all vehicles -----	54%
	New lane added to I-5 to be an HOV during rush hour -----	37%
	No new lanes added to I-5 -----	6%
	[DON'T READ] DK -----	4%

TOLLING

Q16. Are you familiar with the idea of a user fee, such as a toll on certain highways or bridges that would pay for improvements and reduce traffic congestion?

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
Yes, familiar -----	90%	90%	90%
No, not familiar -----	9%	9%	9%
[DON'T READ] DK -----	1%	1%	1%

Q17. In general, would you say that you strongly favor, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose the idea of tolls as a way to improve highway maintenance and operations, and reduce traffic congestion?

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
Strongly favor -----	16%	18%	11%
Somewhat favor -----	26%	26%	26%
Somewhat oppose -----	18%	18%	21%
Strongly oppose -----	36%	35%	40%
[DON'T READ] DK -----	3%	4%	2%

Q18. In some parts of the country, tolls are used to manage congestion by charging a higher toll fee during times of heaviest traffic. The price difference is intended to encourage people to travel during less busy traffic hours. In general, would you say that you strongly favor, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose this idea.

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
Strongly favor-----	13%	14%	10%
Somewhat favor-----	22%	23%	18%
Somewhat oppose-----	19%	19%	22%
Strongly oppose-----	42%	40%	48%
[DON'T READ] DK-----	4%	4%	3%

Q19. Would you be willing to pay a \$2 to \$3 toll for a new I-5 bridge across the Columbia River if it would provide more predictable travel times and less congestion along the I-5 corridor between Portland and Vancouver?

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
Yes-----	36%	37%	32%
No-----	58%	56%	63%
[DON'T READ] DK-----	7%	7%	6%

Q20. If you knew that the toll would be used to pay for the construction of a new bridge across the Columbia River, and then would be reduced after the bridge is paid off to just pay for ongoing maintenance of the new bridge, would you be willing to pay a \$2 toll for such a bridge?

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
Yes-----	51%	53%	44%
No-----	45%	43%	51%
[DON'T READ] DK-----	5%	4%	6%

TRAVEL BEHAVIOR

Q21. Which of the following best describes how often you use any part of the section of I-5 between state route 500 in Vancouver and Columbia Boulevard in Portland: every day; not every day but several times a week; about once a week, less than once a week but more than once a month; once a month or less?

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
Every day-----	9%	7%	18%
Not every day, but several times a week-----	10%	8%	22%
About once a week-----	15%	13%	21%
Less than once a week, but more than once a month-----	19%	20%	16%
Once a month or less-----	45%	51%	22%
[DON'T READ] DK-----	1%	1%	2%

Q22. And which of the following best describes how often you travel over the I-5 Interstate Bridge: every day; not every day but several times a week; about once a week; less than once a week but more than once a month; once a month or less?

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
Every day-----	7%	6%	13%
Not every day, but several times a week-----	11%	8%	24%
About once a week-----	14%	13%	20%
Less than once a week, but more than once a month-----	17%	17%	19%
Once a month or less-----	49%	56%	24%
[DON'T READ] DK-----	2%	2%	1%

Now these last few questions are for statistical purposes only.

Q23. Is your age between [READ LIST]?

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
18-34-----	12%	12%	13%
35-54-----	42%	42%	43%
55 + -----	44%	45%	44%
[DO NOT READ] Refused-----	1%	1%	1%

Q24. How many years have you lived in the Portland Vancouver area?

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
Less than 5 years-----	3%	3%	6%
5-10 years-----	11%	12%	10%
11-20 years-----	19%	19%	22%
21 or more years-----	64%	65%	61%
[DO NOT READ] Refused-----	2%	2%	1%
Mean-----	32.1	32.5	30.2

Q25. Gender [BY OBSERVATION]

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
Male-----	48%	48%	49%
Female-----	52%	52%	51%

Q26. What County do you live in?

	<u>Combined</u>	<u>TC</u>	<u>Clark Co</u>
Multnomah County-----	36%	45%	
Washington County-----	24%	30%	
Clackamas County-----	20%	25%	
Clark County-----	20%		100%